What is the Milwaukee Health Report?

The Center for Urban Population Health and the City of Milwaukee Health Department are pleased to present the Milwaukee Health Report 2011. Now in its third year, this report continues to summarize the current health of the city, as well as the distribution of key factors that may have implications for future health.

The Milwaukee Health Report 2011 is based upon the population health framework and the foundational work of the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute’s Wisconsin County Health Rankings. In that framework, health outcomes are considered the result of a set of health determinants (e.g., healthcare access and quality, health behaviors, physical environment, and socioeconomic determinants such as income and education). Thus, the distribution of health outcomes and health disparities result from differences in the distribution of the determinants in the population.

The Milwaukee Health Report 2011 provides information regarding health disparities among the SES groups within the city, and offers comparisons of health outcomes and health determinants between the City of Milwaukee, the State of Wisconsin and the United States.

The goal of this report is to provide policy makers and community organizations with meaningful information that can be used in addressing Milwaukee’s health issues.

What We Did

We obtained population-level measures of both socioeconomic status (SES) and health. To determine SES, we combined education and income indicators for each of Milwaukee’s 29 ZIP codes. We calculated a socioeconomic status index number for each ZIP code, and then placed the ZIP codes into three groups indicating lower, middle and higher SES. See fig. 1. for the City of Milwaukee ZIP Codes and SES groups.

We obtained health data from a variety of national, statewide and local sources that provide ZIP code level data. We categorized the health data into two main groups of “health measures” - health determinants (including health care access, health behaviors, socioeconomic factors and physical environment) and health outcomes (including morbidity and mortality). See figure 2 for the measures identified. We then examined each health measure by the three SES ZIP code groups to determine if a difference by SES exists for the health measure. For comparison purposes, data were also presented for the city as a whole, Wisconsin and the United States.

Changes from 2010

Compared with the Milwaukee Health Report 2010, we added one additional health measure: Overweight.

Some measures that were not updated (because data are not collected annually), including: No pneumonia vaccination, Physical inactivity, Inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption, Violent assault, Did not wear seat belt, No biennial mammography, No pap smear, Single parent households, and Housing Units built before 1940.

For better ZIP code level estimates of Infant mortality, we changed the data source for this measure from the WISH infant mortality module to vital statistics provided by MHD. We also updated this measure in the online version of 2009 and 2010 reports using the MHD data.

Conclusions

Dramatic health disparities by socioeconomic status exist—and persist—within Wisconsin’s largest city. These findings continue to support that socioeconomic status is one of the most powerful drivers of population-level health outcomes. As we noted in our 2007 report (Vila et al., 2007), “widely disparate environmental and socioeconomic contexts mean that not every individual has the same opportunity to initiate or sustain healthy choices.”

Furthermore, it is becoming more clear since then that the chronic stress of living with poverty, racism, low educational attainment, and social disruption can affect people’s physiology directly through chronic elevations of stress hormones such as cortisol and adrenaline, which, through their effects on blood pressure, glucose metabolism, and immune system functioning, can predispose individuals to heart disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer, and other chronic diseases (Conroy, Sandel & Zuckerman, 2010).

Our current report continues to call upon all health professionals, elected officials, community stakeholders and policy-makers to “work together to help change public policy so that individuals are more likely to live, work, and interact in environments that facilitate and support healthy behaviors” and healthier outcomes.

There are many ways in which to present and interpret the data in this report. The figure to the left summarizes how the health measures in each SES group performed against the same measures in both the state of Wisconsin and the U.S.